WILL INGLIS, partner Deloittes & Touche LLP

- 1 trip.
- 2 A It was a fairly short trip so I don't remember all the details, but it sounds about right.
- 4 Q I think on the very day of your return, indeed at
- 5 a hotel at Heathrow Airport, you had a sort of
- 6 debriefing meeting, didn't you?
- 7 A I don't recall that, but we may have done.
- 8 Q It's not your note but I'm going to see if it assists
- 9 your recollection. I'm going to suggest that there at
- 10 Heathrow, in a hotel, you met the lady that I've
- 11 mentioned, Lorna Harris, the case controller from the
- 12 Serious Fraud Office. (Handed)
- 13 A That's certainly what the first couple of lines indicate
- so, yes, I'm sure that meeting happened.
- 15 Q So you were letting the Serious Fraud Office know how
- things had gone on your inspection?
- 17 A Yes. It does look like it, yes.
- 18 Q Now, the reports on behalf of Mr Nadir were called BDO
- 19 Binder Hamlyn. Your reports had the name Mantle on the
- 20 top. Does it follow from that contact with the Serious
- 21 Fraud Office that we see before in July and on your
- 22 return in July that you would have notified the Serious
- 23 Fraud Office of your Mantle reports and conclusions?
- 24 A I certainly don't think we would have informed them of
- 25 the reports because they didn't exist at this time. If

- I can just read the note? (Pause) Yes, this certainly
- looks as though we did discuss in general terms the
- 3 findings of the inspection, but, as I say, they
- 4 certainly wouldn't have received a note at this point
- 5 because it didn't exist.
- 6 Q Obviously if you had just returned you would have been
- 7 very efficient if you had typed-up your report. Just
- 8 have a look at your first Mantle report. That's dated
- 9 3 July, isn't it?
- 10 A Yes, it is.
- 11 Q So that clearly did exist?
- 12 A It did exist.
- 13 Q Had you notified the Serious Fraud Office of those
- 14 conclusions?
- 15 A I don't know what conclusions I notified, other than
- 16 anything that was in this note.
- 17 Q But there was an open and frank discussion about the
- investigations you were making?
- 19 A Certainly the SFO knew we were going there and we told
- them what we found, yes.
- 21 Q An open and frank discussion?
- 22 A An open and frank discussion, but whether we shared all
- our conclusions with them I have no idea now.

38

- 1 Office as you went along.
- 2 A I can't remember whether it was as we went along or at
- 3 the end, but there was liaison with the SFO, yes.
- 4 Q We heard evidence on Friday from Mr Tantam; do you
- 5 remember him?
- 6 A I indeed.

[Mark Tantam, Head of the Commercial Investigations Group with Touche Ross & Co]

- 7 Q He had quite a lot of face-to-face contact with the SFO.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Presumably he was in a better position to give evidence
- of that than you are from your recollection.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Do you recollect for instance that, before the SFO
- executed a search warrant on the premises of PPI on
- 30 October 1990, they called you in the day before for
- 15 a discussion about that?
- 16 A I think so, yes. Yes.
- 17 Q That was the level of co-operation, was it not?
- 18 A We did co-operate insofar as we could properly do so
- 19 with the SFO.
- 20 Q Did you authorise that your report, the Mantle report,
- 21 should be provided to the SFO?
- 22 A After taking legal advice we disclosed it.
- 23 Q I think that representatives of the administrators, when
- 24 they flew back from the Mantle inspection, actually met
- 25 representatives of the SFO at a hotel at Heathrow when